



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,731	09/14/2000	Daniel M. Jensen		2113

7590  
Kevin Laurence  
Stoel Rives LLP  
900 SW Fifth Ave.  
Suite 2600  
Portland, OR 97204-1268

EXAMINER
----------

DAVIS, ROBERT B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1722

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/11/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/661,731	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JENSEN, DANIEL M.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert B. Davis	<b>Art Unit</b> 1743	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Robert B. Davis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Daniel Higgs.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 April 2011
**Time:** 11:00
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

72

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Robert B. Davis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1743

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Kevin Laurence to discuss claim 72. Mr. Higgs called the examiner back to discuss the case. It was discussed that 2 preliminary amendments were filed in the case on 9/14/00. The amendment with claims 47-72 was intended for another application and mistakenly submitted in this application. The reference to claim 72 in the amendment filed 7/17/02 was a typographical error as claim 72 never existed in this application. .